



Page 2: About your submission

Q1

First name

Kullasit

Q2

Last name

Chutipongpisit



Q4

New Zealand

Are you primarily based in New Zealand or overseas?

Q5

Company/organisation name

Health NZ- Auckland

Q6

Registered oral health practitioner

In what capacity are you making this submission?

Page 3: About your submission

Q7

Dental specialist

What is your profession?

Q8

Please enter your Dental Council Person ID, if applicable

12780

Page 4: Proposed registration pathways

Q9

No

Do you believe the proposed changes will help reduce barriers to registration for suitably trained overseas practitioners?

Q10

Please tell us why:

The current barriers/requirements are still appropriate and status quo should be upheld.

Page 5: Introduction of the proposed 'Competent authority - registration' pathway

Q11

Oppose

Do you support the introduction of the 'Competent authority - registration' pathway? [Click to learn more about the proposed pathway](#)

Q12

Please tell us why:

status quo is appropriate
there is no shortage of oral health practitioners in NZ.

Page 6: Introduction of the proposed 'Comparable health system' pathway

Q13

Oppose

Do you support the introduction of the 'Comparable health system' pathway for dentists? [Click to learn more about the proposed pathway](#)

Q14

Please tell us why:

status quo is appropriate.
As this is a one way system into NZ and with no reciprocity. It is highly unfair and iniquitable.
There is no shortage of oral health practitioners in NZ.

Page 7: Introduction of the proposed 'Teaching and research' pathway

Q15

Oppose

Do you support the introduction of the 'Teaching and research' pathway? Click to learn more about the proposed pathway

Q16

Please tell us why:

This is already being managed appropriately by University of Otago and AUt university. The only places where you can undertake teaching and research. status quo is appropriate.

There is no shortage of oral health practitioners in NZ.

Page 9: Proposed removal of requirement for a medical degree for oral medicine specialist registration

Q17

Oppose

Do you support removing the requirement for a medical degree for oral medicine specialist registration in New Zealand, subject to the relevant medical training and clinical experiences being embedded into the specialist training programme? Click to learn more about the proposed changes.

Q18

Please tell us why:

status quo is appropriate.

There is no shortage of oral medicine practitioners in NZ.

Page 10: Proposed removal of requirement to register in dental technology before registering in CDT

Q19

Oppose

Do you support removing the requirement to register in dental technology before registering in clinical dental technology? Click to learn more about the proposed changes.

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Please tell us why:

Page 11: Proposed changes to the New Zealand registration examination requirements

Q21

Oppose

Do you support the proposed changes to the New Zealand registration examination requirements? Click to learn more about the proposed changes.

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Please tell us why:

Page 12: Proposed administrative changes to the prescribed qualifications

Q23

All scopes

Do you have feedback on the proposed administrative changes to prescribed qualifications for any of the scopes of practice, as reflected in the draft Gazette notices?

Q24

Please tell us your feedback. When discussing multiple scopes, please indicate clearly which scope of practice you are referring to in your comment.

status quo is appropriate.

As this is a one way system into NZ and with no reciprocity. It is highly unfair and iniquitable.

Keep the current system and requirements.

Page 13: Proposed fees for the new registration pathways

Q25

No opinion/NA

Do you find the proposed fees for the new registration pathways reasonable? Proposed fee notice

Q26

Please tell us why or why not?

I dont agree with the new registration pathways.

Page 14: Thank you for your time

Q27

Is there any additional feedback you would like to share on the consultation?

status quo is appropriate.

As this is a one way system into NZ and with no reciprocity. It is highly unfair and iniquitable to current NZ practitioners.

There is no shortage of oral health practitioners in NZ.

Increased practioners from overseas mean increeased disciplinary levy, indemnity burden.
