Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice



Page 2: Your demographics

## Q1 Your details

Name Vicky Liu

Company/organisation

City/town

Email

Q2 Your submission is in the capacity as

oral health therapist

Page 3: The proposal

Q3 Do you agree or disagree with the proposal to remove the 18-year age limit for restorative activities from the OHT scope of practice including: accredited, gazetted programmes allowing oral health therapists to perform restorative treatment on patients 18 years and older an exclusion, such as "Restorative treatment on patients 18 years and older", being placed on oral health therapists' scopes of practice until they complete an accredited adult restorative programme which will allow them to apply to have the exclusion removed (noting that the activities registered oral health therapists can currently perform within their scope of practice remain unchanged).

Strongly agree

Page 4: Your support

## Q4 Please describe why you support the proposal

We need OHT to work with 18+ patients mainly focusing on the basics of restorative dentistry, Allowing OHT to work with 18+ can help improve the oral health status of our nation. Many socio-economic groups are unable to afford good dental services. As an OHT we are able to provide excellent care for 18+ patients under the supervision of a dentist and do not need to charge as much as a GDP.

Page 5: Your concerns

Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice

Q5 Please describe your specific concern/s with the Respondent skipped this question proposal Page 6: Details about OHT scope, qualifications and competencies Q6 Do you have any specific feedback on the proposed No amendments to the OHT scope of practice, prescribed qualifications or competencies as set out in appendices 1 & 2? Page 7: Specific comments on the proposal Q7 Please provide us specific comments related to the Respondent skipped this question OHT scope, qualifications and competencies. Page 8: Anything else Q8 Do you have any further comments on the No proposal?

Q9 Please provide us your feedback Respondent skipped this question