Consultations Committee
Dental Council of New Zealand
Level 8
Kordia House
109 – 125 Willis Street
Wellington
6011

8th March 2019

Dear Marie,

RE: Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice

I would like to take this opportunity to provide feedback on the "Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice" consultation document sent on 28th February 2019

My response to the consultation questions are as follows:

Consultation Question	Response	Rationale/Comments
1. Q1. Do you agree or disagree with the proposal to remove the 18-year age limit for restorative activities from the OHT scope of practice including:	I fully support the removal of 18-year age limit for restorative activities from the OHT scope of practice.	Dental therapists currently have an approved "Adult care in dental therapy practice" scope that is issued when a practitioner completes and accredited training programme. According to Council's register, there are currently nine dental therapists who have this exclusion ¹ . I recognise that oral health therapists already treat adults and therefore acknowledge why this proposed exclusion is for restorative care for over 18 year olds and not an 'adult scope'. I believe it is only appropriate for oral health therapists to be able to

- 20		restore on patients over the
o • an		restore on patients over the
exclusion,		age of 18 years within their
Restorative		scope of practice, much like
treatment on		what the nine dental
patients 18		therapists holding the 'adult
years and		care in dental therapy
older, being		practice' scope do. Dental
placed on		therapy skills are one of the
oral health		subsets of oral health
therapists'		therapy; oral health
scopes of		therapists are already
practice until		practising hygiene skills on
they		over 18 years old and have
complete an		the competency and
accredited		capability to manage
adult		patients' basic restorative
restorative		needs over the age of 18.
programme		_
which will		
allow them		
to apply to		
have the		
exclusion		
removed		
(noting that		
the activities		
registered		
oral health		
therapists		
can currently		
perform		
within their		
scope of		
•		
practice remain		
unchanged).		
If you disperse where days		
If you disagree, please detail		
why.		
		The second second
		There are currently no age
Q2. Do you have any	Yes	limits in restorative care
specific feedback on the		being delivered by oral
proposed amendments to		health therapists who have
the OHT scope of practice,		completed an approved
prescribed qualifications or		course in Australia.
		Subsequently, Australia has

competencies as set out in not seen any adverse appendices 1 & 2? outcomes since it was established in 2009 in Victoria and in 2010 nationally. Graduates' ability and scope of practice should rest on their educational preparation and competency for practice and not on artificially imposed age limits without evidence. There is good evidence to show that oral health therapists can reliably determine the boundaries of their own practice and act accordingly. Sanctions for unprofessional practice are in place to manage deviations from expected standards. New Zealand was among world leaders when it removed age limits on dental therapy practice in 1988 and, despite the current lack of educational opportunities, this was a positive move. I strongly suggest that Dental Council of New Zealand regulation should not impose age limits on practice but enable practice to match educational preparation and competence: regulation should not contain wording that limits patient age and dental caries diagnosis and restorative procedures for oral health therapists People in New Zealand are living with chronic dental pain and the lack of access

Q3. Do you have any	Yes	to oral health care is a key
further comments on the	163	concern for the
proposal?		practitioners and public.
proposar:		Having a highly skilled
		workforce of oral health
		therapists' to assist in
		meeting the oral health
		needs of New Zealanders
		should also be take into
		consideration when making
		the final decision on this
		consultation. I agree with
		Council that having this
		exclusion of scope removed
		for oral health therapists is
		a start to better access for
		primary dental health care
		for the general population.
		This will also help dental
		therapists who have
		completed an approved
		course be able to deliver
		primary health care as well
		in the future. Our
		population is ageing and it is
		evident that the needs of
		older New Zealanders will
		not be met by the existing
		workforce and current
		model of dental care for
		over the age of 18. The role
		of oral health therapists
		(and dental therapists) in
		addressing the unmet need
		in older adults would be
		vital for good oral health for
		all; for life.
		an, norme.

If you have any questions or require clarification about my submission, please feel free to contact me using the contact information below.

I look forward to reading Council's decision.

Kind Regards

Nhit Hoa Chu Registered Dental Therapist/Oral Health Therapist

References:

1. Dental Council of New Zealand (2019).

https://www.dcnz.org.nz/practitioners/PractitionerSearchForm?Practice=&Surname=&Name=&Address=&PersonID=&action doPractitionerSearch=Search. Accessed 4th March 2019.