Consultations Committee Dental Council of New Zealand Level 8 Kordia House 109 – 125 Willis Street Wellington 6011

18th April 2019

Dear Marie,

RE: Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice

I would like to take this opportunity to provide feedback on the "Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice" consultation document sent on 28th February 2019

My response to the consultation questions are as follows:

Consultation Question	Response	Rationale/Comments
 Q1. Do you agree or disagree with the proposal to remove the 18-year age limit for restorative activities from the OHT scope of practice including: • accredited, gazetted programmes allowing oral health therapists to perform restorative treatment on patients 18 years and older 	I fully support the removal of 18-year age limit for restorative activities from the OHT scope of practice.	I recognise that oral health therapists already treat adults and therefore acknowledge why this proposed exclusion is for restorative care for over 18 year olds and not an 'adult scope'. I believe it is only appropriate for oral health therapists to be able to restore on patients over the age of 18 years within their scope of practice. Oral health therapists are already practising hygiene skills on over 18 years old and restoring permanent dentition.

	1	1
o ● an		
exclusion,		
Restorative		
treatment on		
patients 18		
years and		
older, being		
placed on		
oral health		
therapists'		
scopes of		
practice until		
they		
-		
complete an accredited		
adult		
restorative		
programme		
which will		
allow them		
to apply to		
have the		
exclusion		
removed		
(noting that		
the activities		
registered		
oral health		
therapists		
can currently		
perform		
within their		
scope of		
practice		
remain		
unchanged).		
If you disagree, please detail		
why.		
		OHT's ability and scope of
Q2. Do you have any	Yes	practice should rest on their
specific feedback on the		educational preparation and
proposed amendments to		competency for practice.
the OHT scope of practice,		
prescribed qualifications or		There is good evidence to
		show that oral health
		_

competencies as set out in appendices 1 & 2?		therapists can reliably determine the boundaries of their own practice and act accordingly. Measures are in place for unprofessional practice. I strongly suggest that Dental Council of New Zealand regulation should not impose age limits on practice but enable practice to match educational preparation and competence: regulation should not contain wording that limits patient age and dental caries diagnosis and restorative procedures for oral health therapists
Q3. Do you have any further comments on the proposal?	Yes	There is enough evidence available to show New Zealander's are living with chronic dental pain and the lack of access to oral health care is a key concern. I agree with Council that having this exclusion of scope removed for oral health therapists is a start to better access for primary dental health care for the general population. Having oral health therapists' assist in meeting the oral health needs of New Zealanders should also be take into consideration when making the final decision on this consultation. Our population is ageing and it is evident that the needs of older New Zealanders will

not be met by the existing
workforce and current
model of dental care for
over the age of 18. The role
of oral health therapists in
addressing the unmet need
in older adults would be
vital for good oral health for
all; for life.

If you have any questions or require clarification about my submission, please feel free to contact me using the contact information below.

I look forward to reading Council's decision.

Kind Regards

Kathryn Kanara Registered Oral Health Therapist Email: