Consultations Committee
Dental Council of New Zealand
Level 8
Kordia House
109 – 125 Willis Street
Wellington
6011

8th March 2019

Dear Marie,

RE: Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice

I would like to take this opportunity to provide feedback on the "Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice" consultation document sent on 28th February 2019

My response to the consultation questions are as follows:

Consultation Question	Response	Rationale/Comments
1. Q1. Do you agree or disagree with the proposal to remove the 18-year age limit for restorative activities from the OHT scope of practice including:	I fully support the removal of 18-year age limit for restorative activities from the OHT scope of practice.	Dental therapists currently have an approved "Adult care in dental therapy practice" scope that is issued when a practitioner completes and accredited training programme. According to Council's register, there are currently nine dental therapists who have this exclusion ¹ . I recognise that oral health therapists already treat adults and therefore acknowledge why this proposed exclusion is for restorative care for over 18 year olds and not an 'adult scope'. I believe it isonly appropriate for oral health therapists to be able restore

	T	
• an exclusion, Restorative treatment on patients 18 years and older, being placed on oral health therapists' scopes of practice until they complete an accredited adult restorative programme which will allow them to apply to have the exclusion removed (noting that the activities registered oral health therapists can currently perform within their scope of practice		on patients over the age of 18 years within their scope of practice, much like what the nine dental therapists holding the 'adult care in dental therapy practice' scope do. Dental therapy skills are one of the subsets of oral health therapists are already practising hygiene skills on over 18 years old and have the competency and capability to manage patients' basic restorative needs over the age of 18.
registered oral health therapists can currently perform within their scope of		
remain unchanged). If you disagree, please detail why.		
Q2. Do you have any specific feedback on the proposed amendments to the OHT scope of practice, prescribed qualifications or	Yes	There are currently no age limits in restorative care being delivered by oral health therapists who have completed an approved course in Australia. Subsequently, Australia has

competencies as set out in not seen any adverse appendices 1 & 2? outcomes since it was established in 2009 in Victoria and in 2010 nationally. Graduates' ability and scope of practice should rest on their educational preparation and competency for practice and not on artificially imposed age limits without evidence. There is good evidence to show that oral health therapists can reliably determine the boundaries of their own practice and act accordingly. Sanctions for unprofessional practice are in place to manage deviations from expected standards. New Zealand was among world leaders when it removed age limits on dental therapy practice in 1988 and, despite the current lack of educational opportunities, this was a positive move. I strongly suggest that Dental Council of New Zealand regulation should not impose age limits on practice but enable practice to match educational preparation and competence: regulation should not contain wording that limits patient age and dental caries diagnosis and restorative procedures for oral health therapists People in New Zealand are living with chronic dental pain and the lack of access

Q3. Do you have any	Yes	to oral health care is a key
further comments on the	163	concern for the
proposal?		practitioners and public.
ргорозат		Having a highly skilled
		workforce of oral health
		therapists' to assist in
		meeting the oral health
		needs of New Zealanders
		should also be take into
		consideration when making
		the final decision on this
		consultation. I agree with
		Council that having this
		exclusion of scope removed
		for oral health therapists is
		a start to better access for
		primary dental health care
		for the general population.
		This will also help dental
		therapists who have
		completed an approved
		course be able to deliver
		primary health care as well
		in the future. Our
		population is ageing and it is
		evident that the needs of
		older New Zealanders will
		not be met by the existing
		workforce and current
		model of dental care for
		over the age of 18. The role
		of oral health therapists
		(and dental therapists) in
		addressing the unmet need
		in older adults would be
		vital for good oral health for
		all; for life.
		an, for me.

If you have any questions or require clarification about my submission, please feel free to contact me using the contact information below.

I look forward to reading Council's decision.

Kind Regards

Phillip Lamigo Macalincag Registered Dental Therapist/Oral Health Therapist Email: phillip.macalincag@gmail.com Ph. 021 0576489

References:

1. Dental Council of New Zealand (2019).

https://www.dcnz.org.nz/practitioners/PractitionerSearchForm?Practice=&Surname=&Name=&Address=&PersonID=&action doPractitionerSearch=Search. Accessed 4th March 2019.