Consultations Committee Dental Council of New Zealand Level 8 Kordia House 109 – 125 Willis Street Wellington 6011

18th April 2019

Dear Marie,

RE: Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice

I would like to take this opportunity to provide feedback on the "Consultation on the age limit for restorative activities in the oral health therapy scope of practice" consultation document sent on 28th February 2019

My response to the consultation questions are as follows:

Consultation Question	Response	Rationale/Comments
 Q1. Do you agree or disagree with the proposal to remove the 18-year age limit for restorative activities from the OHT scope of practice including: accredited, gazetted programmes allowing oral health therapists to perform restorative treatment on patients 18 years and older an exclusion, Restorative treatment on patients 18 years and older, being placed on oral health therapists' scopes of practice until they complete an accredited adult restorative 	I fully support the removal of 18-year age limit for restorative activities from the OHT scope of practice.	Dental therapists currently have an approved "Adult care in dental therapy practice" scope that is issued when a practitioner completes and accredited training programme. According to Council's register, there are currently nine dental therapists who have this exclusion ¹ . I recognise that oral health therapists already treat adults and therefore acknowledge why this proposed exclusion is for restorative care for over 18 year olds and not an 'adult scope'. I believe it isonly appropriate for oral

programme which will		health therapists to be
allow them to apply to		able restore on patients
have the exclusion		over the age of 18 years
removed (noting that		within their scope of
the activities registered		practice, much like what
oral health therapists		the nine dental therapists
can currently perform		holding the 'adult care in
within their scope of		dental therapy practice'
practice remain		scope do. Dental therapy
unchanged).		skills are one of the
anonangea).		subsets of oral health
If you disagree, please detail why.		therapy; oral health
Il you disagree, please detail wily.		
		therapists are already
		practising hygiene skills
		on over 18 years old and
		have the competency and
		capability to manage
		patients' basic restorative
		needs over the age of 18.
		There are currently no
Q2. Do you have any specific feedback	Yes	age limits in restorative
on the proposed amendments to the		care being delivered by
OHT scope of practice, prescribed		oral health therapists
qualifications or competencies as set		who have completed an
out in appendices 1 & 2?		approved course in
		Australia. Subsequently,
		Australia has not seen
		any adverse outcomes
		since it was established in
		2009 in Victoria and in
		2010 nationally.
		Graduates' ability and
		scope of practice should
		rest on their educational
		preparation and
		competency for practice
		and not on artificially
		imposed age limits
		without evidence.
		There is good evidence to
		show that oral health
		therapists can reliably determine the
		boundaries of their own
		practice and act
		accordingly. Sanctions for

		unprofessional practice are in place to manage deviations from expected
		standards.
		New Zealand was among world leaders when it
		removed age limits on
		dental therapy practice in 1988 and, despite the
		current lack of educational
		opportunities, this was a
		positive move. I strongly suggest that Dental
		Council of New Zealand regulation should not
		impose age limits on
		practice but enable practice to match
		educational preparation and competence:
		regulation should not contain wording that
		limits patient age and
		dental caries diagnosis and restorative
		procedures for oral health therapists
		People in New Zealand
		are living with chronic dental pain and the lack
Q3. Do you have any further comments on the proposal?	Yes	of access to oral health care is a key concern for
		the practitioners and
		public. Having a highly skilled workforce of oral
		health therapists' to assist in meeting the oral
		health needs of New
		Zealanders should also be take into consideration
		when making the final decision on this
		consultation. I agree with
		Council that having this exclusion of scope

removed for oral health
therapists is a start to
better access for primary
dental health care for the
general population. This
will also help dental
therapists who have
completed an approved
course be able to deliver
primary health care as
well in the future. Our
population is ageing and
it is evident that the
needs of older New
Zealanders will not be
met by the existing
workforce and current
model of dental care for
over the age of 18. The
role of oral health
therapists (and dental
therapists) in addressing
the unmet need in older
adults would be vital for
good oral health for all;
 for life.

If you have any questions or require clarification about my submission, please feel free to contact me using the contact information below.

I look forward to reading Council's decision.

Kind Regards

Pip Zammit Registered Dental Therapist