

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name

**Zaf Khouri**

**Q2** Are you making this submission

**as a registered practitioner**

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

**a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Acknowledgement that New registrants require support in the first year or two of practising in NZ

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

The requirement for eye exams is just silly. If such a measure is to be put into place it should filter out risk individuals when they apply for dental school and when they begin practising as well. The requirement for an open book test on practice standards will achieve nothing more than the current declaration does. The peer review of plan and activities is ill conceived. The sustainability and logistic considerations doom it to failuse.

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

Only because it is deemed to be a legislative requirement by the registrar. I have no problem with the 4year CPD cycle.

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

---

**No**

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

New registrants should not be permitted to practise in isolation

---

---

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

---

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

---

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

---

Respondent skipped this question

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

---

Respondent skipped this question

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

---

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

---

Respondent skipped this question