

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name **Yuk Chi Wong**

Q2 Are you making this submission **as a registered practitioner**

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents **a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
I am concerned about the peer-monitoring system that was proposed. I like how it would encourage 1-1 peer contact, especially for clinicians working in isolation, but I feel like it would work better in a small group scenario. That way you can get feedback from multiple sources. I am also wondering how this will be monitored, I hope that it will not become a tick-box exercise.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

Yes,
Please explain.:
It makes sense, our APCs are on a 12 months cycle.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

Yes,

Please explain.:

Yes, as long as there is a curriculum that lays out the 'core' skills and knowledge that a clinician should have. Would the DCNZ release a resource to help support these skills/knowledge? If the clinician is found to have gaps in these skills/knowledge, what would be done to support these clinicians?

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every two years ,

Please explain.:

Yearly would be too often. I am a fan of even numbers so it was either every 2 or 4 years. Having it every 2 years will make it the same interval as our resuscitation recertification.

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

This thought occurred to me after the meeting. The meeting mostly focused on the physical decline of clinicians, but I not much was said about the potential cognitive decline of clinicians. Maybe like an online MOCA test? But then how would you decide who needs to take it? Would it be ageist?

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question