

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name **Victor Ho**

Q2 Are you making this submission **as a registered practitioner**

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents **a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Eye test for over 40.

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

Too much peer assessment added. The way it is at the moment we spent a good amount of time gaining peer contacts already during the CPD hours which we are required to gain. To add more hours on top of that would take further hours away from activities outside of dentistry such as valuable family time or outside of dentistry activities. Work life and balance right. I believe that balance will be tilted further with more dentistry after your proposed programme.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

I believe the CPD programme we have in placed already is balanced and enough.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

If it is open book I don't see what that will achieve for dentists. You can be a non dentist to complete it if you got enough English comprehension skills.

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every four years ,

Please explain.:

Once again I don't see the point, being an open book assesement, but if so then every four years is enough.

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Nope. Again I believe what we have in place with our current CPD programme is suffice.

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Mentoring part is a good idea

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right,

Please explain.:

I never had this opportunity but I started as a house surgeon in Christchurch hospital and those were valuable years in terms of mentoring.

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

No,

Please explain.:

If you are going to introduce this scheme then all new registrants should do the same.

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Eye assessment

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change? **No**

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain. **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change? **No**

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain. **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification? **Respondent skipped this question**
