

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name **McNab**

Q2 Are you making this submission **as a registered practitioner**

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents **a registered dental technician**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

I fear that the proposed ideas will not improve the practical aspect .

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
To support a more practical approach I would suggest making practical hands on courses more available .

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,
Please explain.:
More paperwork for everyone involved .

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

Yes,
Please explain.:
Doesn't hurt to read up on theory , it reminds us what needs to be considered when planing a job.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every two ,
years

Please explain.:
we are talking about adults who should take their profession seriously ?

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No .

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No,
Please explain.:
No , those proposals make sense

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right,
Please explain.:
See above , just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

No,
Please explain.:
Mentoring usually takes place automatically by starting in the profession and getting used to the exposure in the work field

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

The mentoring time

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

In the normal day to day running of a dental laboratory we find the newly trained people well equipped on theoretical knowledge , much less so with the ability to actually work as a dental technician . So I would support an opportunity to involve more practical courses .

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

More exposure to practical skills .

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

No , all said before
