

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Ronald M. Sluiter

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
Council tasks: - Certification of professional competence at graduation by auditing training programs. Assessing individual competence for foreign graduates. - Testing individual compliance with existing codes of practice The quality improvement and assurance is a task that lies with the professional organisations, in my case the NZDA and the NZAO.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,
Please explain.:
As an orthodontist most treatments last more than 2 years. Treatment options in orthodontics don't change overnight. 4 years is more than adequate and eliminates a bureaucratic burden.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,
Please explain.:
We are all supposed to be able to read. Feels like an insult.

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Please explain.:
Never

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Practice visitation, by peers

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Mentoring

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right,
Please explain.:
No idea, needs more research

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

Asking the New Zealand optometrists if a regular visit is helpful, you don't expect them to say no. What is the research behind this? Is it a real problem expressed in complaints to the council?

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Seek cooperation and delegation to professional bodies.
