

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name **Rob Smith**

Q2 Are you making this submission **as a registered practitioner**

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents **a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

online test is fine, peer contact has always been more useful than the same type of speakers every year saying the same stuff

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
the PDP submission is too fluffy and idealistic, consequently it will not be taken seriously and will become a bit of a joke and dentists will make it up as they go along. "facilitate reflection on their practise" ????? dentists are type A pragmatists they will consider this wishy washy bullshit "complete a written reflective statement" seriously?? I can think of some amusing ones now that will be brilliant!

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,
Please explain.:
what changes in 12 months, unless I have an illness make it 2-3 years

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

Yes,
Please explain.:
no
problem

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five ,
years
Please explain.:
make it hard and over a longer period of time so we can prepare for it

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

keep it pragmatic not psychobabble

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No,
Please explain.:
doesnt affect
me

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Respondent skipped this question

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question