

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name **Richard Greenlees**

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered clinical dental technician ,

a registered dental technician

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

I would prefer it was left as it is and not changed, there is no increased benefit from change.

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
Leave it as it is now.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,
Please explain.:
I am happy with the way it is now thank you.

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,
Please explain.:
This has never been done before, patients are very happy, it is the very few who are causing change for all of the rest of us solid practitioners.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five years ,

Please explain.:

If you consider that you gain your qualification, five year intervals are plenty. When you consider that practitioners in the past got there degree and then practised for 50 years....

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

If new registrants need to be supported they should not be getting a practicing certificate.

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too long ,

Please explain.:

If someone is qualified why nanny them?

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Please leave it as it is, we are running businesses and families and lives why is there a proposal to further complicate our lives?
