

DCNZ Recertification Submission

My concerns about the proposed changes for recertification are:

1. 12 monthly recertification places quite an onerous burden on all dental practitioners. Continuing professional development is already in place. The current 4 year recertification cycle would be more appropriate for recertification. There is no evidence that a 12 month recertification cycle will improve competence.
2. An annual open book assessment only proves practitioners can pass exams. It does not protect the public from unsafe and risky practices.
3. How does the DCNZ propose to measure practitioners vision as adequate if they routinely wear loupes?
4. The document lacks detail as to data/evidence
5. Can the reflective review of cases/incidents be used against practitioners by DCNZ or other authorities? This would certainly inhibit open reflection of cases/incidents and would not be a learning experience.

Raymond King

