

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name

**rajneesh roy**

**Q2** Are you making this submission

**as a registered practitioner**

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

**a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

mentorship for new grads

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

I would change the peer assessment process. Instead I would suggest formation of Googlegroups or other email forums for a fixed number of practitioners to post their cases on. This would serve as an informal support group, on which they can discuss complex cases, and get opinions from peers/ specialists etc. I would not have the open book exam as I doubt it would be of value for maintenance of competence.

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

**No,**

Please explain.:

12 months is too short a time period. I think the previous cycle of four years was appropriate and no change is necessary.

---

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

**No**

---

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

**Every four years**

---

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

I like the idea of longer mentorship for new registrants

---

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

**No**

---

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

**just right**

---

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

**No**

---

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

There is no need for compulsory eye testing every two years after 40. People can have eye problems at much younger ages. Professionals should be trusted to take care of their problems themselves, rather than be forced into compulsory checks like this.

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

**No**

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

**Respondent skipped this question**