

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Neng Linda Jiang

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

The major overarching question I have regarding these changes is the purpose and motivation. Has the present system been failing? If so, in which ways, and how has that been measured?

For an evidence-based profession, I find there to be a distinct lack of evidence put forward to support, and give rationale for, these changes.

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

- Attestation is simply too subjective and I do not see a detailed plan in this proposal. How will "satisfactory" be measured, and met, by nominees? - This plan should be implemented only if there is evidence that it delivers positive outcomes, I presently see no evidence of this. - This has the potential to be a significant time-sink on dental professionals.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

- Why is the peer review period annually? A one year CPD cycle seems impractical and likely to result in higher costs and may force dentists to take courses simply to make up hours, rather than out of a genuine need or interest in the content.

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

- What is the evidential basis for this requirement?

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every four years

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

No,

Please explain.:

- If mentors are to be voluntary, how can it be ensured there are enough mentors? - How will the success of this mentorship program be measured?

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

It is my belief that these new proposals will increase demands of time, increase levels of stress and workload amongst dentists.

Ultimately these costs (financially or otherwise) will be borne wither by the dentists themselves or their patients.

I cannot see these proposals being of benefit of Dentists or their patients.

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

it seems unnecessary, if a dentist has poor eye sight, and declines to rectify it (glasses, loupes, surgery, etc), their ability to complete successful procedures and create a solid patient base will be hindered.

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

It is my belief that these new proposals will increase demands of time, increase levels of stress and workload amongst dentists.

Ultimately these costs (financially or otherwise) will be borne wither by the dentists themselves or their patients.

I do not see these proposals being much of benefit to Dentists or their patients.
