

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Nada Putrus

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Nothing

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

1. The burden of the new system should not apply to highly experienced dentists such as 20 years experienced dentists with no complains 2. It surely is not going to make any difference due to accumulated experience that such dentists have. 3. Enforcing additional time consuming requirements can influence the life of the members negatively and take away the time that is necessary to look after and caring for the children and elderly parents this would increase burden and pressures upon them 4. Dentistry is a very stressful profession due to the pressure of trying to do the best for the patient, avoid causing pain to the patients as well as the cost involved to the patient and to the practice. 5. Getting the dentist to the old school days in writing plans and getting these plans observed and approved by someone else is merely waste of time and paper and leads to nowhere as usual because any dentist can write the best plan and that plan may not be necessarily consistent with the satisfactory quality of work. 6. Every dentist can testify with the fact that we all hear constantly from patients who walk into the clinics usually the first thing they say "I don't like dentists" or "I hate dentists" and maybe we do the same when it is our turn to become patients of other dentists. This conduct by itself contributes to stress and depression and surely we do not need more dentist suicide cases added to the record. 7. Instead of enforcing the new core recertification program you may educate the public to stand up for their rights in regards to poor quality of dental work and false advertising that is going around with trapping patients this advertising that should be investigated immediately. 8. In order to close this debate we can easily tell how competitive and honest the dentist is by looking up the amount of complaints and the nature of complaints and get these unprofessional practitioners to comply with this new recertification system by doing the extra homework rather than being politically correct and treat the good and bad the same way.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

It is not going to change for the better as long as the rotten apple remains in the same box with the good apples.

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

Dishonest dentists always find their way around that.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every four years ,

Please explain.:

The longer the period, the better and the amount and validity of complaints needs to be considered individually.

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

I believe the current recertification programme is good and fair.

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

New registrants need to work under supervision of experienced ones only who has enough space to accommodate new registrants and must not be allowed to start their own practice from the start. and must not be enforced on dentists with one chair practice.

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

New registrants need to work under supervision of experienced ones and must not be allowed to start their own practice from the start.

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Another option can be establishing public dental health system for the new registrants to accommodate them under the supervision and mentoring of experienced dentists for a certain period of time in order to be fully equipped to be considered as experienced dentist

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Nothing

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

Eye test should not be related to age and should handled on the case by case basis.

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

I agree with the new rules in this area

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

No
