

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Time additioned was completed by:	
Name	Muwafaq mansour
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dentist or dental specialist
Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme	
Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?	
Non	
Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?	No
Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?	Yes
Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?	No
Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?	Every five years

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Just compare what you suggesting to other nations like Aussie , USA, England , and other to look to their experience in that field of recertification and also to medical council and their policy

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Non

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too short

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

You concern and care

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

No

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Non

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Non

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

I think face to face interview with such practitioner are vital to assess his or her psychological background

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

I know it is not easy issue, but need time and sharing ideas on large scale with other countries and other professions