

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Morris Wong

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Its attempt to ensure competence

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

review proposed mentoring programme. This implies senior and junior parties. Practically this will be difficult to match every practitioner. It also means double time commitments for the mentor, who will also be required to be mentee to someone. Review proposed eye test - has this been identified as an area of concern? I find it a but condescending that such a basic condition needs to be legally enforced.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

some years, due to personal commitments, work commitments, and suitable courses, the requirements may not be met within 12 months. Whereas in another 12 month period, they may be more than adequately met.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,
Please explain.:
this take resources, time commitments, but does it necessarily translate to practical application?

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Respondent skipped this question

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

mentoring scheme

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
core subject could be taught at undergrad level?

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes,
Please explain.:
all should participate

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

yes

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

need to identify true areas of risk before suggesting solutions eg. eyesight. There is no provision for the practitioner to adjust their practice to align with their comfort or perceived competence eg. A dental surgeon may choose not to perform exodontia, or a dentist registered as a specialist may feel comfortable placing simple restorations, but not molar endodontics

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

no

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

addressing multiple complaints

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

Please explain.:

no

sure

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

not sure

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

no