

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Mohammad Alansary

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

the requirement that every practitioner participate in PDAs that contribute to or support maintenance or improvement of their professional knowledge and skills

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
The requirements that every practitioner complete a written PDP, complete a written reflective statement, upload a written attestation prepared by their professional peer when they renew their APC and finally, undertake an assessment every year!

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

Yes,
Please explain.:
I don't mind

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,
Please explain.:
By doing this you are questioning their qualifications and experience rather than improving their skills!

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Please explain.:
not
supported

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

I wished that you looked at how to improve skills rather than examining and assessing skills of already qualified dentists

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

the idea of mentoring, but not in this way

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
Mentoring should be looked as providing advice for new graduates, it should be optional rather than a way of assessing them. We have to appreciate that these are qualified dentists not dental students.

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

Please explain.:
unsupported

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Please explain.:
This question explains why I don't agree with this proposal from the beginning! on what basis are we judging new graduates? Are we undermining their degree qualification and re-assessing their skills?

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

optional mentorship, for providing assistance and advice

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
The whole draft.

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

I think this draft is reasonable

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

no

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

I would suggest finding new ways to improving skills rather than assessing them. New ways to support a new graduate rather than treating them as unqualified students. Provide more reasonably priced workshops and hands on , not examinations! thanks