

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name **Mike Wu**

Q2 Are you making this submission **as a registered practitioner**

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents **a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

specialists join some dentists group meeting and share more of their clinical experience.

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
professional peer review sounds easy but actually it is very difficult to achieve efficiently. Maybe to encourage dentists having a group case study meeting regularly with some different specialist is a better way for their professional development.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,
Please explain.:
annual cycle recertification programme. 12 months is too short to manage for dentists in their each individual circumstances. 1 year CPD cycle is impractical, less time and choice for the dentists to choose and arrange their own interested topic to study.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

This is very impractical, This is a huge time sink. These will end up being done just for the sake of being done.

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every three years

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

monitoring the new registrant two years.

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

better have a scoring system for the new registrants, comments can come from the specialists and dental technicians, who dealing with their cases and dental works.

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

No,

Please explain.:

all should be, including the new registered overseas dentists.

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

need evidence to prove it is necessary.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

why 40 years old not 65 years old? every 2 years is too short? Such as drive licence is every 10 years/

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

most dental treatment mistakes are men-made mistakes, not eye made mistakes, he or she made the same mistake though their whole life in their treatment.

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Be practical, be efficient, gain the right information from the patients, specialists and dental technicians, listen to their opinions and target "the" problem dentists, do not let every dentist become a target, then put more stress, cost and time on every one.
