

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Liling Cheah

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

New grad mentoring

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

I don't think the professional peer review can be carried out efficiently. How do we pick a professional peer to review us which is unbiased? Most of us will properly end up picking our colleagues that work with us and end up reviewing each other favorably regardless of skill or maybe even be forced to review each other favorably ie. associate relationship with their boss. Also how do we find time in our day to log our professional development portfolio and also reflect on our learning? I think we are all adults here and don't need to be forced to reflect on our activities like school children and log it down. We take notes at these courses and that's our reflection of the course.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

I think if it was made simpler then yes but with the proposed changes, 12 monthly cycles will be very tedious.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills? **Yes**

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment? **Every four years**

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Auditing practices. There are a lot of practices I've worked at that does not comply with basic guidelines and find it shocking that DCNZ want to carry out all this other stuff without first making sure all practices are compliant with basic guidelines.

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Having the support but also they will need to be paired with competent dentists

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change? **No**

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is: **just right**

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme? **Yes**

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain. **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Eyesight test is fine

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change? **No**

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question