

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Lilian iskander

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Assure the public that the Oral Health practitioners are competent and keeping their knowledge and skills up-to-date assessment

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

Assessment will be applicable only to unsafe and at risk practitioners with multiple complaints and deficiency

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

We suggest to change the cycle to two years at least to insure there will be enough time to attend courses, workshop, peer contact and peer review cases and to plan our professional development activities and plan, and we will make sure it will be delivered in a professional way required by the Dental Council .

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,
Please explain.:
Mostly applicable to at risk and unsafe practitioners

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five years ,
Please explain.:
We are regularly updating our knowledge through courses , peer review cases , workshops, journals, News letters , online reading , we are up-to-date with Code of practice ,and we are working in a group practice , on top we are all Qualified dentist with so many years of experience

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

To reconsider assessment and attestation
To high risk and multiple complaints practitioners
Many thanks

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

To give them self confidence to practice in a safe environmental to build up there confidence in their practical experience

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

I do agree with the eye test every 2years

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change? **No**

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

I do agree with the draft proposal to address recurring non-compliant behaviour

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change? **No**

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

No
