

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Lance Mundy

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Unfortunately very little if anything

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

This process should be anonymous for starters. I feel discouraged from stating what I truly think because this process is not anonymous.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

On occasion I will attend overseas courses which may run for several days, or if I attend a 80 hour implant or ortho course for example, all that would be wasted in one CPD cycle. I don't struggle for hours to make up the requirements but it is a waste of time and money if CPD points are only valid for that 12 month cycle. What happens if I have more than enough hours and then want to have a child the next year-there needs to be some carry over. One year is far too short!

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

Why would you do this? Do you really think this will do anything other than patronise all dental professionals? If you want to target the practitioners at the bottom of the heap then do that but don't punish all dentists in the process

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Respondent skipped this question

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too long

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

I do not feel that a mandatory eyesight test is needed. Surely any responsible practitioner would attend to this matter by themselves

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

I just hope that the dental council actually listens to those much more knowledgeable than myself who have placed submissions. There is overwhelming opposition to this proposal, however I fear that DCNZ has its own agenda and will not care about the wishes of others that will be effected by these proposed changes. DCNZ seems to be rushing things through without giving proper thought to the details and how it will effect other people. In my opinion you need to go about targeting the incompetent dentists in a different way.
