

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name

**Kavita**

**Q2** Are you making this submission

**as a registered practitioner**

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

**a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

focus is on quality rather than quantity of PDA

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

- every practitioner needs to nominate a professional peer to support them and complete written statements - ??? - every practitioner needs to complete a written PDP - we already have an overwhelming amount of work to do at the moment to maintain our competency to practice - there is too much of focus on submitting written statements from the practitioner and the professional peer increasing the amount of admin work rather than spending that time learning new dental skills or knowledge

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

**No,**

Please explain.:

we should be given more time than 12 months

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

**No,**  
Please explain.:  
need more information on this open book assessment

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

I personally found the first two years of practice most challenging, I could have benefited hugely from a mentoring program in my early years

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

**No**

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

**too long**,  
Please explain.:  
2 years would be good for new grads but not necessarily for overseas grads - minimum 1 year depending on their previous experience, anyone with career break - depends on how long a break - for eg. if it is less than 1 year than i don't think mentoring should be required

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

**Yes,**  
Please explain.:  
All new registrants should participate in the mentoring programme

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

It is important to address this issue

---

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

**Yes,**  
Please explain.:  
change the age to 50 and above

---

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

there should be a system in place to deal with the potential high risk practitioners

---

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

**No**

---

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

I don't think practicing dentistry needs to be made more stressful by introducing a whole new complicated system for recertification!

---