

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name

Kamal pannu

**Q2** Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Registration cycle coincides with recertification cycle

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

No

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

Depends how many hours is involved along with already Cpd hours

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five ,  
years

Please explain.:

Clinical codes r updated from time to time and need to see if someone needs more help than others

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Agree 2 year update

---

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No,

Please explain.:

May be one  
year

---

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

---

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

No,

Please explain.:

It should be fair to  
all

---

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

---

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Any decline should be addressed by further study and awareness

---

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

No

---

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Warning and some guidance by council to take certain courses, assessing it again

---

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change? **No**

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

---

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

To be more precise and brief when proposing changes

---