

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name

**Kabelo**

**Q2** Are you making this submission

**as a registered practitioner**

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

**a registered dental technician**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

It doesn't add any value for our work

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

Would really love not to do annual reports as it's deeming to our qualifications.

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

**No,**

Please explain.:

We already pay way too much money and it's lot of paperwork involved. Very hard to keep a healthy balance with work and cpd point

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

**No,**

Please explain.:

There are already measures to deal with incompetent technicians/clinicians thus no need to be underlings of dentists. This would rather make us less confident in our work.

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

**Every five years**,  
Please explain.:  
Would rather not do it at all

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Less involvement in the field from the dental council

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

The mentoring program

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

**No**

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

**too long**,  
Please explain.:  
Usually after university the students would have basic skills and will require a tips and hints to hone their skills

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

**No,**  
Please explain.:  
If the new registrants can hold their own they shouldn't be subjected to the program

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

If your health is declining it's your responsibility to seek help

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

**Yes,**  
Please explain.:  
Eye tests should not be mandatory unless the council covers for it

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

---

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

No

---

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change? **No**

---

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

---

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Keep the system as it is, there is no need for change

---