

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Jinwoo Jang

Q2 Are you making this submission

on behalf of a company or organisation

If group, company or organisation, please specify::

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

Other (please specify)::

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Mostly, I oppose this proposed program.

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

I don't agree a lot of thing in this proposed program.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

In my opinion, this recertification fee is not too cheap to affordable. Therefore, if Dental council revise a regulation about this recertification cycle from 2 to 1 year, a lot of clinical technicians must spend unnecessary expenditure annually.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

This online open-book plan could be helpful for clinical dental technicians to brush up their skills and related knowledge, however, I don't agree with this assessment to be obligation for any clinical technician. They acquire practical knowledge and experiences from their own clinics or hospitals, having ample communication with dentist enough. I believe in Clinical dental technicians of New Zealand enough educate and reinvent themselves in actual workplace.

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Respondent skipped this question

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Probably some of this ideas are useful to clinical technicians in terms of clarifying their competency and qualification. Nonetheless, it looks quite an attempt to undermine clinical dental technicians' work and demotivate them.

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too long

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Respondent skipped this question

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

eyesight and overall checkout will be relatable

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question