

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

| Name | Janet lamont |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Q2 Are you making this submission | as a registered practitioner |
| az / no you making the odomiodon | as a registered productions. |
| | |
| Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your | a registered dentist or dental |
| submission represents | _ |
| Submission represents | specialist |
| | |

lanet lament

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Not a lot

| Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core | |
|--|--|
| recertification programme you would change? | |
| | |

Yes,

Please explain.:

Not yearly CPD monitoring No exams yearly No peer review Continue with CPD as it currently stands as this provides the basis of our current updates

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

There may be times in life where one year is particularly difficult to fulfill your requirements, for example child birth, sick parents, sick kids, injury, a busy practice/household to run. The current cycle with number of hours is achievable but a yearly one would be unachievable by a significant proportion of dentists

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

Yes,

Please explain.:

It depends on what you are trying to examine. Is there a lack of current skills or knowledge that you have suddenly discovered? This system is not in practice anywhere else in the world. You have taken proposals from other countries but show me the science that shows that this will change anything here in New Zealand. If you are worried about lone clinicians there must be a better way to include them

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five

years

Please explain.:

If we go to the courses we already do and then have to do an exam regularly this would be too much to expect. In what profession does this currently occur? Law? Medicine? Where do you examine yearly once doing a degree? What are you trying to achieve and what happens if someone fails an exam?

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

You are trying to implement systems that have no proven ability to achieve what I think you are trying to do. It seems like a lot of time and money for no result. The patients will not be better off

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too

long

Please explain.:

Are you wanting an old and young dentist to mentor each other? What is the aim?

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting Respondent skipped this question new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain. Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft Respondent skipped this question proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns? Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for Please explain.: addressing health-related competence decline Haven't looked at them concerns you would change? yet Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing Respondent skipped this question health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain. Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft Respondent skipped this question proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours? Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for Respondent skipped this question addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change? Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing Respondent skipped this question recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question