

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name **Janet**

Q2 Are you making this submission **as a registered practitioner**

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents **a registered dental therapist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Peer mentoring across the board not just a few

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change? **Yes,**
Please explain.:
Clinical audits should be across the board not just those who work in a District Health Board

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months? **No,**
Please explain.:
Too short of time as the requirements will eat into more non clinical time

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills? **Yes,**
Please explain.:
Followed up with clinical audits

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every two years,
Please explain.:
Achievable time wise

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

needs to have training for the for the mentors of new graduates so everyone is on the same page

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

this needs to happen across the board

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
Need for provisional training and mentor after graduating for a time period 1 year and then they need to have clinical things signed off

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes,
Please explain.:
Graduates that don't participate shouldn't totally graduate

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

The same for everyone

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

health related issues should be across the board and not a special age group

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
All the same for all ages

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Someone from outside the council should be involved with non-compliant people no connection with dental council

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

People who are non-compliant cost the dental council money which is us, so these people should pay more encouraging compliance. People who continually have compliances should only have 2 then they should be removed there is too much time waste on these people. Some discount for early payment and penalties for late payment

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Working for a DHB there is so many for compliance than working in a private practice and I think this needs to be acknowledge in regards to fees.

There needs to be a well documented reason for APC increase the areas that cost more should pay more.

There needs to be provisional training guideline for all new graduates as they seem to lack a lot of experience in training.

Certified professional development should be merited on quality rather than quantity hence different events have different ratings
