Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name	Gill Bird
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dental therapist, a registered oral health therapist
Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programm Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core I agree with the issues that the DC has addressed	
Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?	Νο
Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?	Yes
Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?	Yes
Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?	Every two years

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

I like all of your proposals, this is what we already provide for our new graduates now

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?	Νο
Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:	just right, Please explain.: Obviously every new grad is different, could there be some component to allow some new grads to fast tract there mentorship to under two years.
Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?	Yes, Please explain.: As above, all need some help but level of skills vary so much, I have had some new grads that are competent after 6 months and others that still require some mentoring after 2 years, both will make great OHT eventually

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No I think your plans are excellent

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Eye exam

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

I feel that some assessment for mental decline and physical decline needs to assessed after the age of 65, and that a possible restriction in scope could be offered for some competent practitioners that have just slowed down and are doing less than younger colleagues.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Physical fitness, including Minor motor skills could be assessed

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

All of it well done

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

A peer review of competence, in serious situations where patients are shared

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?