

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name

**Gena Eyles**

**Q2** Are you making this submission

**as a registered practitioner**

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

**a registered oral health therapist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

I like the focus on the quality rather than the quantity of PDA

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

I feel the proposed recertification programme is all a bit of an over kill. I feel a support colleague that practitioners can confer with when needed is more of a benefit than annual peer reviews. The PDA's currently provide around NZ are usually in main city centres with makes it difficult for rural practitioners to attend. An idea would be to make more webinar course available so quality programmes can be easily accessible.

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

I feel this is to much. I have previously has a clinical audit and the whole process of that was very stressful, even though I came out not needing to change anything. From looking at what is proposed I feel this would just add to an already stressful career and still not benefit the practitioners of need help. I would be more open to a 4 year cycle but annual is not long enough.

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

I think this would be a good idea, to show that you are up to date with current changes.

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

**Every four years** ,

Please explain.:

Things change and everyone has there own way of going about treatment which finishes with the same result. I feel this would be to hard assess.

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

more webinars

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

good idea

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

If a new registrant has previously worked overseas then this may not be appropriate for them, dependant on what country they are from.

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

**just right**

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

**No,**

Please explain.:

If a new registrant has previously worked overseas then this may not be appropriate for them, dependant on what country they are from.

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

I feel eye testing should be recommended not compulsory

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

I feel eye testing should be recommended not compulsory, as 40 years old is still very young to have your eye test ever 2 year if there is no issues.

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

**Yes**

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question