Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

## Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name	Fred Hunter
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
<b>Q3</b> Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

The second proposal with new graduates is good.

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

## Yes,

Please explain .:

PDA, planning your year and peer support I don't quite understand the need. Is the current CPD not enough? Now I've written that down, you wouldn't be making proposals.... Question 3 No. Why. Please explain. 4. No. See above 5.4 years as with the current cycle. Sometimes it can be difficult to find a relevant course to attend in a calendar year. 6. Time away from dentistry will be compromised, and that will not be a good thing for a practitioners mental health -a focus of the NZDA in recent years. This is all going to cost, and will the NZDC front to the public and media to explain AGAINwhy the costs have increased? At times I think NZDC don't realise how difficult this can be? 14. Why? Most dentists will visit every 2 years if they have vision issues, or use a set of loupes. 16. Is this different to how the council works now? Please explain. I've replied in the one window to all the questions....

<b>Q6</b> Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?	Νο
<b>Q7</b> Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?	Νο
<b>Q8</b> If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?	Every four years
<b>Q9</b> Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants	
<b>Q10</b> What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q11</b> Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?	Νο
<b>Q12</b> Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:	just right
<b>Q13</b> Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?	Νο
<b>Q14</b> Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related compe	etence decline concerns
<b>Q15</b> What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q16</b> Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?	Yes

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

<b>Q18</b> What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q19</b> Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?	<b>Yes,</b> Please explain.: The consequences for the first proposal re APC is too harsh.
<b>Q20</b> Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question

## Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Rethink.