Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name	Foh Loong Yong	
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner	
<b>Q3</b> Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dentist or dental specialist	
Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme		
Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?		
area 2-4		
Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?	Yes, Please explain.: Area 1 Annual cycle may be difficult in some situations eg. parents to be/new parents, injuries, illness Especially to achieve all the new proposed programmes. New proposed programmes will create extra pressure/work on top of an already stressful profession. Current registered dental practitioners / specialists should already be competent to practice otherwise they should not have been registered to start with. Current CPD system / cycles make more sense.	
<b>Q6</b> Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?	<b>No,</b> Please explain.: as above	

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification		
programme should include a requirement for		
practitioners to complete an online open-book		
assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge		
and skills?		

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

No, Please explain.: as above

Every two , years Please explain.: If Resuscitation is to be renewed every two years, perhaps assessment may be the same.

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

mentoring to help new registrants particularly new graduate, transition into workforce

<b>Q11</b> Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?	Νο
<b>Q12</b> Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:	<b>just right,</b> Please explain.: Mentee should be well adjusted to workforce. participating in CPD programmes / courses after 2 years will be more beneficial to the mentee rather than commit to a longer mentoring programme
<b>Q13</b> Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?	<b>Yes,</b> Please explain.: to help familiarise with NZ regulations, culture if registrants are from overseas

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for	No,
addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner	Please explain.:
behaviours you would change?	-

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?