

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Fangtao Li

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

like the idea of trying to help practitioners keep up and sharpen up the skills for better service to public, but totally disappointed by the proposal.

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

the basis/ foundation of proposal is backed up by literature??? I can't see if the literature is based on MEDICAL OR DENTAL professions at all ! For example, eye test every 2 yrs for practitioner over 40yrs, does it test for naked eyes, wearing lenses? how the re certification applies for the surgeons who are doing neurological operation..., furthermore how about the fitness of the hands, feet, or the flexibility of the neck, and mental fitness... these are also very very important to daily practice.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

the programmes in one year look like quite many out there, but I might be just interested specific topics like cosmetic, it may not have enough courses to go to just one year, then I have to attend others just for the points i have to get. If I don't can't find time in this year for personal or other reasons, 12 month cycle is really limited to manage.

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

what is the point if it's open-book, just want to be refreshed for the knowledge? what if I fail the assessment, what should I do? retake over and over again to approve i am good student, qualified to practise?

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

**Every five ,
years**

Please explain.:

this is the maximum I can choose.

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

kinda

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

Just wondering if there are enough mentors to support new registrants, if it's person to person, it's volunteering or getting paid for the extra hours?

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

**too ,
long**

Please explain.:

it totally depends on the quality of the mentoring, it has to be controlled. but that raises up another question: how to get the quality control right? what the standards are?

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

No,

Please explain.:

it's better not to make the mentoring compulsory, if the new registrants are keen to get help, this is good. but like the new registrants from The States, UK, Australia... who have been practising for so many years, what are the benefits for them in this programmed?

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

good point

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

why just eye problem? what about hearing problem, coordination problem, mentally stressed... Eyes problem probably is the easiest to be solved!

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

more strict

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

don't see the reason to change the current system, is it approved to be bad or failure from research?

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

again what are the "researches" based on dental or medical professions ? do the practitioners have time to get these proposals done? I don't think it's realistic/practical
