

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name

**Esmari Reyneke**

**Q2** Are you making this submission

**as a registered practitioner**

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

**a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

**Yes,**  
Please explain.:  
My concerns are that a peer will have to attest to something they were told by the practitioner and might not be able to verify whether it is true. Would a peer be held accountable if the practitioner's competence is questioned? What if PDA's chosen as part of the PDP are not available to attend?

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

**No,**  
Please explain.:  
If you do not have the required PDA's near the end of the year you will be forced to attend any available course just to comply, even if it is not necessarily a course you want to attend. I would propose a 36 month cycle.

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

**No,**

Please explain.:

I do not think an open book online assessment would accurately assess any practitioner's technical or clinical knowledge.

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

I think the mentorship program should be voluntary and not compulsory. I think any new graduate would benefit from a mentor, but to make it formal and compulsory would only add more pressure and admin to new registrants and mentors.

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

**Respondent skipped this question**

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

---

Respondent skipped this question

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

---

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

---

Respondent skipped this question

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

---

Respondent skipped this question

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

---

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

---

Respondent skipped this question