

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name **Eman al Yassiri**

Q2 Are you making this submission **as a registered practitioner**

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents **a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Agree with the importance of Training the new graduates but should be through dedicated centers who gave different specialties rather than private general practice

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change? **Yes,**
Please explain.:
We already doing what is required and I don't think the extra requirements will add any improvements to the competency of the practitioners will only add more stress , cost and time

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months? **Yes**

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills? **No,**
Please explain.:
That not going to add any improvement to competency just add stress and time Competency improved through courses and training

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Please explain.:

We all graduated from registered dental universities and any test should be done through the university not dental council as you are not educational entities

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

You need to focus on dentists with recurrent complains and non compliance issues rather than applied it on all who normally doing the right things

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

It's important to train new graduates but should be through specialised centers rather than private practices

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

One year should be enough

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too long

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

No

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

training should focus on clinical , ethical and cultural values

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

I think all dentist over 40s having there eye checked annually any way and using loops
No need to make us feel discriminated by age

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

No

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

This group should get your most attention to work on how to make them comply and improve thier standards

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

I think the existing recertification program is working well and more than enough and no need to spend more of your time or money on it

To me your are just adding more stress and cost and more time to be involved in our practices on the expense of our families and our life

We all worked hard to get to this level and we all work for the patient interests and deserve better support from your side
