

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Eman Hussain

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Assure the public that Oral Health Practitioners are competent and keeping their knowledge up-to-date.

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

Assessment will be applicable to Practitioners with multiple complaints and deficiency.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

We suggest to change the cycle every two years as we attend lectures,courses,conference,peer review cases and workshop at the same time and we will have more time to fulfill the council requirements.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

As we are qualified dentists with experience of more than 30 years and we are working for a group practice and we are regularly have peer review cases,conference and website to maintain our professional development.

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five ,
years

Please explain.:

Mostly applicable to high risk Practitioners

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

To reconsider assessment and attestation to high risk dentists and with multiple complaints Practitioners.

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

I agree with that so they can build up their confidence in their practical experience

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

I do agree with your proposals

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change? **No**

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

I do agree with the draft proposals.

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change? **No**

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

No

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

No
