

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name

**Donna Kenned**

**Q2** Are you making this submission

**as a registered practitioner**

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

**a registered dental therapist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Mentoring - peer contact

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

The focus is on proving in written form that you are competent. Just because you can read a article and write a assessment, doesn't mean you are competent. Your proposal implies that new or experienced clinicians are incapable of self directed learning and we will all just watch netflix and put it down as cpd. My colleague will have to write a assessment on me in written form, then I will have to write a self assessment. Then Ill have to write a assessment on him/her and they will have to write there self assessment. thats hours of work involved. Address health related competence decline. This is discriminatory. Age does not reflect health related status

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

**No,**

Please explain.:

see above

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

**No**

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

**Every two years**

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Mentoring peer review and contact

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

**Yes**

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

**just right**

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

**Yes**

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Nothing, it is age discriminatory. Just because you have wrinkles doesn't mean you are sick and blind!

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

**Yes,**  
Please explain.:  
If you are going to implement statutory GP visits, it has to be across the board. Younger age does not mean good eyes

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

---

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

---

Respondent skipped this question

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

---

No

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

---

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

You are imposing hours of extra work for clinicians. This will not prove you are a competent clinician

---