

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name **Debra Li**

Q2 Are you making this submission **as a registered practitioner**

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents **a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
So much more bureaucracy for practitioners already inundated by a lot of work! We are already required to submit evidence of CPD certification and peer-contact hours. To find and nominate a professional peer and submit written reflections and written attestations in a 12 monthly cycle (or to make sure this is done and saved, "just in case" a practitioner's competency is questioned is just more red-tape being implemented.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,
Please explain.:
As above.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,
Please explain.:
Why is this required? We are assessed with CPD requirements, what will an open-book assessment contribute to furthering/assessing our knowledge and skills?

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five years ,
Please explain.:
Ideally never.

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,
Please explain.:
Rather than mandatory 2 year mentoring, 1 year is better

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too long

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

If implementing eyesight changes, should consider hearing changes and motor skills - eye sight is just one aspect; how to address all? If you are screening for problems should you be considering 'fixing' the problem earlier rather than being the ambulance at the bottom of the cliff

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question