

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name	Bruce Perrett
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dentist or dental specialist

Danie Downott

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Nothing

Nama

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

The need for more supervision of work practises and the additional time required seems unnecessary. Most dentists maintain a high standard of professionalism and competency already and those of us in group practices already seek opinions and advise from our colleagues when necessary. The proposed changes seem to suggest a lack of confidence in the dental profession.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain .:

I believe the yearly renewal is too often but I don't feel strongly about this

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No.

Please explain.:

I would like to see more details about what is proposed

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five years

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

I think mentors for new registrants is a good idea although this could easily be done in a group practice

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No,

Please explain.:

Focus on core subjects is a bit

vague

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too

long

Please explain.:

1 year is probably

enough

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes,

Please explain.:

Previous experience should be considered. New graduates with no experience would benefit from mentor

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Eye tests are probably undertaken by all dentists of their own accord. Wearing of loupes/ magnification are normal especially among dentists over 40. Interval of 2 years is too often

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

Maybe longer intervals between mandatory eye

tests

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

I agree that recurring non compliant practitioners should be subject to more attention

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No,

Please explain .:

The nature of the problem is relevant and may require different approaches

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question