	·	

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name	Andrew yin
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dentist or dental
	specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

really think is redundant

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

## Yes,

Please explain.:

it doesnt serve any help in any level for competency assessment. 2 times a year will just incur more and mote paperwork for you and us.

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

### No,

Please explain.:

not everyone is able to attend a big course enough for each cycle . some people may choose to attend once every two years. no other country would ever do once a year

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

#### No.

Please explain.:

online open book just copy and paste google with another computer really doesnt help achieve anything

### Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five ,
years
Please explain.:
makes sense allow time for them to
prepare

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

please use the original system more fair and practical

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

maybe you can put a national exam

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.: maybe can consider close monitoring only intially

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

No

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

## Phase two consultation on recertification

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

give them warning before suspension

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

# Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

seriously yearly cycle is so much work on both side and way too much to allow courses esp long courses to be crammed in yearly