

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name **Akash**

**Q2** Are you making this submission **as a registered practitioner**

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents **a registered dentist or dental specialist**

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Mentorship programme  
Eye testing after 40 years age

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

**Yes,**  
Please explain.:  
Measures outlined below unlikely to add value to professional practice. "Core recertification - for everyone, which will include professional peer review, a professional development portfolio outlining your professional development activities and reflection on this activity, peer attestation and an open-book assessment. "

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

**No,**  
Please explain.:  
Too short

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

**No**

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

**Every three years**

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Mentorship and guidance

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

**just right**

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

**No**

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

**Yes**

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

---

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

---

Respondent skipped this question

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

---

No

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

---

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Current drafts are too excessive.

Agree overall only with the registrants mentorship and regular eye tests.

---